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1. Introduction

Past ocean temperatures are an essential parameter for reconstructing the Earth's climate. Several organic and inorganic proxies for reconstructing sea surface temperature (SSTs) exist. Amongst these is the lipid based alkenone unsaturation index (U_{IS}), expressing the relative abundance of long chain alkenones biosynthesized by some haptophytes, in particular Emiliania huxleyi (Brassell et al., 1986), and the tetraether index with 86 carbon atoms (TEX_{86}), based on the relative abundance of isoprenoid glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs) biosynthesized by planktonic archaea (Schouten et al., 2002). Both proxies are widely used since they appear robust and applicable in most marine settings, and their analysis is inexpensive and requires only a small amount of sample < 1 g (e.g. Prahl et al., 1988; Müller et al., 1998; Schouten et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2008). More recently, the long chain diol index (LDI) has been proposed as an additional SST proxy (Rampen et al., 2012). It is based on the relative distribution of long chain diols, presumably produced by eustigmatophyte algae (Volkman et al., 1992; Versteegh et al., 1997). In paleoclimatology, a multiproxy approach is often used because each proxy has its limitations, such as alteration by selective
degradation and diagenesis (e.g. Hoefs et al., 1998; Schouten et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2009) or vulnerability to transport and redeposition (e.g. Ohkouchi et al., 2002; Mollenhauer et al., 2003). Additionally, the ecology of the different organisms and the resulting discrepancies between different SST proxies are not completely understood (e.g. Grauel et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2013; Lopes dos Santos et al., 2013). In order to minimize sources of errors and to allow high sample throughput in a relatively short time, simple and fast protocols are needed. At present, U\textsubscript{137} is conventionally obtained through gas chromatography (GC) coupled with either flame ionization detection (GC–FID) or mass spectrometry (GC–MS) operated in chemical ionization mode (CI; Rosell-Melé et al., 1995) or with fast GC–time-of-flight mass spectrometry (ToF-MS; Hefter, 2008). LDI is determined via GC–MS, while TEX\textsubscript{86} is acquired using normal phase high performance liquid chromatography coupled to atmospheric pressure CI–MS (NP-HPLC–APCI-MS; Hopmans et al., 2000; Schouten et al., 2007). TEX\textsubscript{86} can either be determined directly from the total lipid extract (TLE) or after fractionation over a silica gel or alumina column, whereas determination of U\textsubscript{137} and LDI usually requires additional sample preparation steps, e.g. base hydrolysis to eliminate co-eluting alkenoates (Villanueva et al., 1997) or derivatization of hydroxyl groups of long chain diols. Other protocols for analyzing archaeal GDGTs and long chain alkenones in the same analytical window. However, such methods are not routinely applied because they are laborious and impacted by various constraints. For example, iGDGTs containing OH groups in the bisphytane chain are not thermostable if analyzed with GC and the chromatographic resolution is generally worse than for HPLC methods.

Here, we present an extension of the NP-HPLC–APCI-MS method recently described by Becker et al. (2013) to a dedicated protocol for the detection of long chain diols, long chain alkenones and core iGDGTs in a single analysis. As proof of concept, we applied the method to sediments deposited since 21 ky r BP in the Sea of Marmara. The interval covers large shifts in climate and environment, like the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), the Bolling/Allerød (B/A), the Younger Dryas (YD), Sapropel S1 formation and the late Holocene. Furthermore, the Sea of Marmara has oscillated between lacustrine and marine stages following glacial and interglacial global sea level changes, respectively (Stanley and Blanpied, 1980; Ryan et al., 1997, 2003; Aksu et al., 1999, 2002; Çağatăy et al., 1999, 2000). The current marine state was established ca. 14.7 ky r BP (e.g. Vidal et al., 2010). This oceanographic history makes the Sea of Marmara an ideal location for studying multiproxy records.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Samples and extraction

Sediment samples were collected during RV Meteor cruise M84/1 (“DARCESEAS I”) from different depositional environments (Table 1). Site GeoB15103 is in the Eastern Mediterranean where organic-rich sediments (sapropels) alternate with organic-lean, coccolith-rich sediments. Site GeoB15104 in the Sea of Marmara experiences high terrigenous input and Site GeoB15105 in the Black Sea provides CH\textsubscript{4}-rich and organic-rich sediments. After recovery, the samples were immediately frozen and stored at –80 °C until further treatment (Zabel et al., 2011). Additionally, for analysis of alkenones, an E. huxleyi culture was used, which had been grown at 23 °C in f/2 medium (Guillard and Ryther, 1962) with a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle and harvesting at exponential growth phase. For analysis and isolation of long chain diols, surface sediment samples from Aarhus Bay were used (56°07.06′N, 10°20.85′E, 15 m water depth, 10–12 cm and 0–60 cm sediment depth).

The sediment samples (25 ± 0.5 g wet wt), as well as the E. huxleyi culture, were extracted using a modified Bligh and Dyer protocol (Sturt et al., 2004): ultrasonication was performed for 10 min in four steps with a mixture of dichloromethane (DCM)/MeOH/buffer (1:2:0.8, v:v:v) using 4 ml solvent/g sediment and extraction step. A phosphate buffer (8.7 g/l KH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, pH 7.4) was used for the first two steps, and a CCl\textsubscript{3}COOH buffer (50 g/l CCl\textsubscript{3}COOH, pH 2) for the final two. After each extraction step, the samples were centrifuged at 800-g for 10 min and the supernatants collected in a separation funnel. The combined supernatants were then washed 3× with de-ionized MilliQ water. After separation into organic phase and water-soluble phase, the organic phase was collected as the total lipid extract (TLE). The solvent was gently removed under a stream of N\textsubscript{2} and the extract stored at –20 °C.

2.2. Age model for sediment cores from the Sea of Marmara

The 39 samples from the Sea of Marmara were derived from a 704 cm long gravity core (GeoB15104-1) and a 52 cm multi-core (GeoB15104-2). The sampling interval for the multi-core was 2 cm (26 samples). The gravity core sediments were sampled every ca. 50 cm and covered prominent lithologies, for example the organic rich sediments from the Sapropel S1. The detailed lithology is described by Zabel et al. (2011). The age model for the cores was based on sedimentation rates according to Vidal et al. (2010) for the nearby core MD01-2430. Matching lithologies and interfaces were adjusted, such as the top (7 ky r BP) and bottom (11.5 ky r BP) of S1 and the transition from the marine to the lacustrine sediments (14.7 ky r BP; Fig. S1). Based on this model, the gravity core covered the last ca. 21 ky r.

2.3. Instrumentation

2.3.1. NP-HPLC–APCI-MS

The iGDGTs, long chain diols and long chain alkenones were analyzed according to Becker et al. (2013) with a Dionex Ultimate 3000RS UHPLC instrument coupled to a Bruker maXis ultra-high resolution quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (qToF-MS), equipped with an APCI II ion source. Aliquots of the TLE (typically 10 μl) in n-hexane:propan-2-ol (99.5:0.5, v:v) were injected onto two coupled Acquity BEH amide columns (each 2.1×150 mm, 1.7 μm; Waters, Eschborn, Germany) kept at 50 °C. Compounds were eluted using the following gradient (after Becker et al., 2013) with eluent A (n-hexane) and eluent B [n-hexane:propan-2-ol (90:10, v:v)] and constant flow of 0.5 ml/min: 3% B to 5% B in 2 min, to 10% B in 8 min, to 20% B in 10 min, to 50% B in 15 min and to 100% B in 10 min. Columns were washed with 100% B for 6 min and equilibrated with 3% B for 9 min before the next injection.
Compounds were detected in positive ionization mode, scanning from m/z 150 to 2000 at 2 scans/s; source parameters were as described by Becker et al. (2013). MS² spectra were obtained in data dependent mode. For each MS full scan, up to three MS² experiments targeted the most abundant ions with N₂ as collision gas and a collision energy of 35 eV. The isolation width was 6 Da. Active exclusion limited how often a given ion was selected for fragmentation and thus allowed us to obtain MS² data for less abundant ions. The mass spectrometer was operated at a resolution of 27,000 at m/z 1222 and mass accuracy after calibration by loop injection at the end of each run and by lock mass calibration was typically <1–2 ppm (cf. Becker et al., 2013). Compounds were assigned from their exact masses and isotope pattern in full scan (MS¹) mode and their characteristic fragmentation in MS² spectra. Integration of peaks was performed on extracted ion chromatograms of ±10 mDa width of the [M+H]⁺ ion in MS¹ spectra. Accurate mass, high resolution and MS² fragment spectra are not essential for quantification of GDGTs, alkenones and diols once the retention time is established; thus, conventional single quadrupole HPLC–MS systems are suitable. Lipid quantification was achieved by injecting an internal standard (C₄₆ GTGT; Huguet et al., 2006) along with the samples. The abundances of iGDGTs, alkenones and diols were averaged from duplicate measurements; iGDGTs and alkenones were corrected for the response factors of GDGT-0 (caldararchaeol), purified from extracts of Archaeoglobus fulgidus, and authentic C₃₇₋₂ and C₃₇₋₃ alkenone standards vs. the C₄₆ GTGT standard. The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) for GDGTs and long chain alkenones was <10 pg. The relative response factor (RRF) between the C₃₇₋₂ and C₃₇₋₃ alkenone was determined to be 1.3, with the higher response for the C₃₇₋₂ alkenone (RF C₃₇₋₂ = 1.3 x RF C₃₇₋₃). For our instrument, the RF values were linear in the range between 10 pg and 10 ng. Since authentic standards for long chain diols were not available, response factor correction and determination of LLOQ was not possible. To determine concentrations of diols we assumed a RRF of 1 vs. the C₄₆ GTGT. By assuming this RRF, the LLOQ was <10 pg.

2.3.2. GC–FID

Alkenoates were removed via base hydrolysis of the TLE fraction following the procedure described by Elvert et al. (2003). GC was performed using a Trace gas chromatograph (ThermoFinnigan GmbH, Bremen, Germany) with a Rxi-5 ms column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm; Restek GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany) equipped with FID. He served as carrier gas at a constant 1 ml/min. The GC temperature program was: 60 °C (1 min) to 150 °C at 15 °C/min, then to 300 °C (held 28 min) at 4 °C/min. The injector was at 310 °C and the detector at 300 °C. Assignment of di- and triunsaturated C₃₇ alkenones (C₃₇₋₂ and C₃₇₋₃) was based on retention times and comparison with parallel GC–MS runs. All samples were analyzed in quadruplicate.

2.3.3. GC–MS

Long chain diols were analyzed using GC–MS with a Trace gas chromatograph system interfaced to a Trace MS instrument (both from ThermoFinnigan) after derivatization with bis(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide in pyridine at 60 °C for 1 h (Elvert et al., 2003). The mass spectrometer was operated in electron ionization mode (EI') at 70 eV over m/z 40–700. The ion source was at 200 °C, the interface at 300 °C and He was the carrier gas at a constant 1 ml/min. Samples were injected in splitless mode at 310 °C and separation was achieved with an Rxi-5 ms column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm; Restek GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany) using the same temperature program as for GC–FID. Assignment of long chain diols was based on retention times and characteristic fragments in the GC–MS run (see Smith et al., 1983; Versteegh et al., 1997). Relevant long chain diols were quantified using extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of the characteristic fragment ions at m/z 299.4, 313.4, 341.4, 327.4, 341.4 and 355.4. All samples were analyzed in duplicate.

2.3.4. Long chain diol isolation

For HPLC-based long chain diol assignment the compounds were isolated from the TLE of the Aarhus Bay sediment sample through reversed phase semi-preparative LC with an Agilent 1200 series HPLC instrument equipped with an Agilent 1200 series fraction collector and coupled to an Agilent 6130 MSD by active splitter. The diols were eluted using the chromatographic protocol of Zhu et al. (2013). In brief, the TLE was fractionated with a semi-preparative Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C₁₈ column (5 μm, 250 × 9.4 mm; Agilent Technologies Deutschland GmbH, Böblingen, Germany) operated at 45 °C. Samples were dissolved in MeOH:propan-2-ol (8:2, v:v) and eluted using a linear gradient from 80% MeOH: 20% 2-propanol to 60% MeOH: 40% propan-2-ol in 5 min and then to 35% MeOH: 65% propan-2-ol in another 40 min at 2.2 ml/min. The column was washed with 100% propan-2-ol for 15 min followed by column reconditioning with 100% MeOH for another 15 min. The diols were collected in a time window of 8.6–12 min.

2.3.5. Calculations

TEX₆₀ was calculated using the definition of Schouten et al. (2002):

\[
\text{TEX}_60 = \frac{\text{GDGT}-2 + \text{GDGT}-3 + \text{GDGT}-5}{\text{GDGT}-1 + \text{GDGT}-2 + \text{GDGT}-3 + \text{GDGT}-5} \times 100
\]

where numbers refer to number of rings in the GDGT and GDGT-5 to the crenarchaeol regio isomer. TEX₆₀ was converted to SST using the coretop transfer function of Kim et al. (2008):

\[
\text{SST} = -10.78 + 56.2 \times \text{TEX}_{60}
\]

Uᵢ was calculated according to Prah and Wakeham (1987):

\[
U_i = \frac{[C_{37-2} \text{ alkenone}]}{[C_{37-2} \text{ alkenone} + [C_{37-3} \text{ alkenone}]}
\]

It was converted to SST by applying the coretop transfer function of Conte et al. (2006):

\[
\text{SST} = 29.876(U_i^C) - 1.334
\]

LDI was calculated using Eq. 5 as described by Rampen et al. (2012):

\[
\text{LDI} = \frac{[C_{30} 1.15 \text{ diol}]}{[C_{28} 1.13 \text{ diol} + [C_{30} 1.13 \text{ diol}] + [C_{30} 1.15 \text{ diol}]
\]

SST values were estimated using the global coretop calibration from the same study:

\[
\text{SST} = \frac{\text{LDO} - 0.095}{0.033}
\]

Mean deviation for duplicate NP-HPLC–MS runs was ±0.01 Uᵢ values (±0.32 °C), ±0.006 TEX₆₀ values (±0.31 °C) and ±0.01 LDI units (±0.23 °C), respectively, and ±0.004 Uᵢ values (0.14 °C) and ±0.003 TEX₆₀ values (0.11 °C), respectively, for HPLC–MS runs. Mean standard deviation for quadruplicate GC–FID runs was ±0.02 Uᵢ values (±0.62 °C) and for duplicate GC–MS runs ±0.01 LDI units (±0.28 °C).

The chromatographic resolution (Rs) was calculated from the retention time difference between two adjacent peaks (Δt) divided by the sum of their mean peak width at half peak height (W_avg):

\[
Rs = \Delta t / W_{avg}
\]
3. Results and discussion

The NP-HPLC–APCI-MS method (Becker et al., 2013) allows simultaneous determination of nine paleoenvironmental proxies (Fig. 1a and b): the SST proxies TEX86 (Schouten et al., 2002), U37K’ (Brassell et al., 1986) and LDI (Rampen et al., 2012), the proxy for terrigenous input to the ocean using the branched isoprenoid tetraether index (BIP; Hopmans et al., 2004), proxies for annual mean air temperature (MAT) and soil pH using the cyclisation (CBT) and methylation ratio of branched tetraethers (MBT; Weijers et al., 2007), a proxy for paleosalininity using the archaeol and caldarchaeol ecometric (ACE; Turich and Freeman, 2011), a proxy for past methane hydrate dissociation using the methane index (MI; Zhang et al., 2011) and a SST proxy for high latitudes via hydroxylated iGDGT-based indices (Huguet et al., 2013). Additionally, the whole suite of recently identified tetraether core lipids from Liu et al. (2012) and Zhu et al. (2014), such as brGDGTs with a higher and lower degree of methylation than the regular compounds, and butane- and pentanetriol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers, could be detected (Fig. 1b). Their ecological and environmental significance needs, however, to be clarified. We demonstrate below the extension of the HPLC–MS protocol of Becker et al. (2013) to the analysis of U37K’ and LDI, i.e. to the simultaneous analysis of three molecularly independent SST proxies in a single analysis (see Fig. 1), which is not possible with the conventional protocols due to inadequate chromatographic separation of the proxy-relevant alkenones and diols, respectively.

3.1. Detection of long chain alkenones using HPLC–MS

In the NP-HPLC base peak chromatogram (BPC) of the TLE of the E. huxleyi culture (Fig. 2a) the long chain alkenones eluted between 1.8 and 2.5 min. They were unambiguously assigned from their exact mass in full scan (MSQ) spectra and their characteristic fragmentation in MSQ spectra. The major product ion of the C37:2 alkene-1one ([M+H]+ at m/z 531.5499) is m/z 513.5394 (−18.0 Da), formed by loss of water (Fig. 2b). The remaining larger fragments form a series with 14.0 Da difference between the product ions, resulting from cleavage between different carbons after initial loss of water. For example, the fragment at m/z 317.3203 results from cleavage between C-23 and C-24 and that at m/z 331.3359 from cleavage between C-24 and C-25. The C=C locations cannot be determined using MS without derivatization, because they can migrate when the alkyl chain is ionized (López and Grimalt, 2004; Rontani et al., 2006). The C38 and C39 Et ketones elute before the C37 and C38 Me ketones and the C37:2 and C37:3 alklenones are well separated (see Fig. 2a) and can be quantified readily for computation of U37K’ and C17:1. The chromatographic resolution according to Eq. 7 between the two peaks is 1.12. Resolving them is necessary, since otherwise the 13C2 isotope peak from C37:3 would contribute to the monoisotopic peak of the C37:2 alkene. The co-elution of the C38 and C37 Me ketones does not influence their quantification because of the MS-based detection and a difference of 14.0 Da between the C37 and C38 alklenones. The C37:4 alkene was not detected in the E. huxleyi culture, presumably because of the relatively high culture temperature (23°C), at which only small amounts of the C37:4 alklenones are produced (Prahl et al., 1988).

Having demonstrated the detection of alkenones with NP-HPLC–MS, we were able to measure TEX86 and U37K’ in a single analysis (e.g. Fig. 2c). This brings the advantages of eliminating sample preparation steps, e.g. base hydrolysis to remove alkenoates, highly reduced time for analysis, and increased sensitivity of one order of magnitude compared with conventional GC based methods. The limit of quantification for the new method is <10 pg, whereas for GC-FID it is generally in the ng range (e.g. Villanueva and Grimalt, 1997). Potential disadvantages of analyzing alkenones with HPLC–MS could be changes in the relative sensitivity between the di- and triunsaturated compounds and non-linear response factors, as observed with other MS methods, e.g. GC-CI-MS (Chaler et al., 2000, 2003), GC-EC-MS (Versteegh et al., 2001) and GC-qToF-MS (Heffter, 2008). In order to quantify such effects, we calibrated our...
instruments with the C_{37:2} and C_{37:3} alkenone standards. The RRF values slightly differed between the two compounds but were linear for our instrument. Thus, the monitoring of RRF is recommended for the use of the presented protocol, which applies for MS-based detection in general.

3.2. Detection of long chain diols using HPLC–MS

Detection of long chain alkenones with NP-HPLC–MS led us to further inspect the protocol for the detection of long chain diols, which are used for the LDI SST proxy. Their greater polarity than the long chain alkenones results in a longer retention time in the NP-HPLC chromatogram. Indeed, in the BPC of the isolated long chain diol fraction from the Aarhus Bay sediment, the diols eluted between 24 and 27 min (Fig. 3). They were tentatively assigned from the exact mass in full scan (MS\(^1\)) spectra and by comparison of the relative distribution of the major diol isomers with that obtained through conventional GC–MS.

The diols are subject to in-source dehydration during the protocol for NP-HPLC–APCI-MS, with the resulting dehydrated fragment (\(-18.0 \text{ Da}\)). For example, the major MS\(^1\) signal for the C_{30} diol was at m/z 437.4171 and for the C_{28} diols at m/z 409.4404. For accurate quantification, we therefore propose to use the dehydrated fragments.
In the MS² spectrum of the C₃₀ 1:15 diol ([M+H]⁺ − 18.0 Da at m/z 437.4171) the major fragment was at m/z 419.4611 (Fig. 3b), representing loss of the second OH group (− 18.0 Da). The remaining larger fragments form a series with 14.0 Da difference between the product ions resulting from cleavage between different carbons after loss of the OH groups. Like the fragmentation of the long chain alkenones, C=C positions in the diol derivatives, obtained via loss of the OH groups, cannot be determined with MS². Therefore, the identity of the isomers with the same chain length cannot be determined using this method. However, comparison...
of the relative abundance of the major diol isomers between the conventional GC–MS method and the NP-HPLC protocol (Table 2) indicates that retention is based on compound polarity, so the earliest eluting major peak was the C₂₈ 1,15 diol, the second with the same mass the C₂₀ 1,14 diol and the third the C₂₀ 1,13 diol, i.e. in order of increasing polarity. The major C₂₀ diols were (early to late eluting): C₂₈ 1,14, C₂₀ 1,13 and C₂₀ 1,12. The isomers used for LDI were baseline separated (see Fig. 3a) and could be quantified readily for determination of LDI. The NP-HPLC protocol therefore enables the determination of the three proxies – TEX₈₆, Uₚ₇ and LDI from a single analysis (Fig. 3c, Fig. 4a).

The simultaneous detection of the three SST proxies might also be possible with conventional single quadrupole HPLC–MS systems, since the pressure using the presented method is below the upper limit for most HPLC instruments (600 bar), but would require method development including the adjustment of ionization parameters as well as of the gradient system for the columns used here. As an alternative to the two coupled amide columns, the use of a single column results in adequate separation of alkenones and diols (data not shown) and the maximum pressure is < 400 bar. However, iGDGT and iGDG isomers cannot be fully resolved under these conditions (Becker et al., 2013). The establishment of the retention times of long chain diols and alkenones should be based on standard compounds or isolated fractions as described here (i.e. alkenones from an E. huxleyi culture or long chain diols from marine sediments). The high sensitivity of the qToF-MS enables detection of the relevant compounds in full scan mode, which is likely not possible with a single quadrupole MS instrument. As for GDGTs, detection should be performed in selective ion monitoring (SIM) mode, scanning only for the masses of alkenones (as [M+H]⁺ ions; see Fig. 2) and diols (as [M+H−H₂O]⁺; see Fig. 3).

3.3. Comparison of Uₚ₇ determined with GC–FID and HPLC–MS

The GC-FID based Uₚ₇ values for samples from the Eastern Mediterranean, the Black Sea and selected samples from the Sea of Marmara core varied between 0.21 ± 0.05 and 0.82 ± 0.05 (providing SST between 5.4 ± 1.7 and 23.4 ± 1.5 °C) and thus cover a wide range of the possible Uₚ₇ scale (Fig. 4a and b; Table 3). The relatively large error for quadruplicate GC-FID runs (precision of 0.02 Uₚ₇ units) might result from the low amounts of the C₃₇:2 E. huxleyi (i.e. alkenones from an E. huxleyi culture or long chain diols from marine sediments). The high sensitivity of the qToF-MS enables detection of the relevant compounds in full scan mode, which is likely not possible with a single quadrupole MS instrument. As for GDGTs, detection should be performed in selective ion monitoring (SIM) mode, scanning only for the masses of alkenones (as [M+H]⁺ ions; see Fig. 2) and diols (as [M+H−H₂O]⁺; see Fig. 3).

The simultaneous detection of the three SST proxies might also be possible with conventional single quadrupole HPLC–MS systems, since the pressure using the presented method is below the upper limit for most HPLC instruments (600 bar), but would require method development including the adjustment of ionization parameters as well as of the gradient system for the columns used here. As an alternative to the two coupled amide columns, the use of a single column results in adequate separation of alkenones and diols (data not shown) and the maximum pressure is < 400 bar. However, iGDGT and iGDG isomers cannot be fully resolved under these conditions (Becker et al., 2013). The establishment of the retention times of long chain diols and alkenones should be based on standard compounds or isolated fractions as described here (i.e. alkenones from an E. huxleyi culture or long chain diols from marine sediments). The high sensitivity of the qToF-MS enables detection of the relevant compounds in full scan mode, which is likely not possible with a single quadrupole MS instrument. As for GDGTs, detection should be performed in selective ion monitoring (SIM) mode, scanning only for the masses of alkenones (as [M+H]⁺ ions; see Fig. 2) and diols (as [M+H−H₂O]⁺; see Fig. 3).

3.4. Comparison of LDI determined with GC–MS and HPLC–MS

LDI values determined from GC–MS in the sample set as above varied between 0.58 ± 0.01 and 0.98 ± 0.0007, providing SST values between 14.7 ± 0.2 and 26.8 ± 0.06 °C (Table 3, Fig. 4a). LDI values from NP-HPLC and GC–MS show a clear linear relationship (r² 0.95, slope 0.88; Fig. 4c), demonstrating that the NP-HPLC protocol provides robust results for LDI. For most samples, the discrepancy between NP-HPLC and GC LDI was within the standard error for the most recent calibration (± 2 °C, Rampen et al., 2012). The mean ΔLDI for the samples was ± 0.03 and the mean ΔL ± 0.88 °C. The maximum discrepancy between NP-HPLC and GC LDI was

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C₂₀ 1,12 diol</th>
<th>C₂₀ 1,13 diol</th>
<th>C₂₀ 1,14 diol</th>
<th>C₂₀ 1,13 diol</th>
<th>C₂₀ 1,14 diol</th>
<th>C₂₀ 1,12 diol</th>
<th>LDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC–MS</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.46</td>
<td>10.21 ± 0.04</td>
<td>50.72 ± 9.93</td>
<td>4.88 ± 1.76</td>
<td>8.12 ± 2.67</td>
<td>24.53 ± 5.99</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP-HPLC</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.32</td>
<td>9.78 ± 0.79</td>
<td>50.03 ± 0.77</td>
<td>5.46 ± 0.57</td>
<td>10.16 ± 1.89</td>
<td>23.23 ± 3.20</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5. SST estimates from different proxies

The substantially higher TEX$_{37}$ than U$_{27}$ SST estimates for most samples obtained from the NP-HPLC analysis (Fig. 4a, Table 3) might be explained by differences in ecology of the relevant haptophyta and archaea, such as production season or water depth. A similar pattern has been observed for the Mediterranean Gulf of Taranto, where the U$_{27}$ signal corresponds to winter SST and the offshore TEX$_{37}$ signal to summer SST (Leider et al., 2010). Only two samples showed the opposite behavior, i.e. higher U$_{27}$ than TEX$_{37}$ SST estimates. One originates from the Black Sea sapropel, an interval of strong (upper) water column stratification, and the anomalous signal at this site could be explained by a strong contribution of deeper dwelling planktonic archaea that thrive in the colder chemocline, an explanation also suggested by Menzel et al. (2006). However, this is in contrast to studies of modern subsurface GDGT distributions, which showed a warm bias for the TEX$_{37}$ signal (Schouten et al., 2012; Basse et al., 2014; Hernández-Sánchez et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2014). The LDI-derived temperature values are generally higher than those from U$_{27}$ and TEX$_{37}$ for corresponding samples (Fig. 4a), except for the Eastern Mediterranean, where TEX$_{37}$-derived temperatures are equal to or slightly higher than those derived from the LDI. This warm bias of LDI-derived temperatures was also observed by Lopes dos Santos et al. (2013). The authors compared LDI-inferred and foraminiferal assemblage-derived temperature values for the Southern Ocean and suggested that the LDI reflects SST of the warmest month. The ecological factors that might influence the lipid distribution of the signal producers and therefore the proxies are discussed in the next section. Lateral sediment transport and selective aerobic degradation during early diagenesis may also contribute to differences between SST from alkenones and iGDGTs (e.g. Huguet et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2009).

3.6. Past SST variation in the Sea of Marmara determined with the new protocol

Alkenones, diols and iGDGTs were detected in all samples in sufficiently adequate concentration for proxy estimations (Fig. 5a and b; Table S1). Total iGDGT concentration varied between 0.44 and 8.58 µg/g sediment dry wt (sed. dw) all along the record. The maximum concentration occurred within S1. A second peak was observed in the sample from the B/A with a concentration of 5.81 µg/g sed. dw. Total diol concentration followed a similar pattern but absolute values were one to two orders of magnitude lower than for iGDGTs. In contrast, total alkenone concentration showed no distinct peak in S1. The maximum concentration occurred in the sample from the B/A at 4.45 µg/g sed. dw. Lowest concentration was in samples from the lacustrine stage (0.02–0.07 µg/g sed. dw). For these samples, alkenones characteristic of freshwater environments (Thiel et al., 1997; Schulz et al., 2000) were not detected. For the Holocene samples, alkenone concentration ranged between 2.22 and 0.80 µg/g sed. dw in the top 15 cm of the record, whereas it was ca. 0.41 µg/g sed. dw for the deeper samples (Table 1). The alkenone and diol concentration profiles are in line with previous studies (Vidal et al., 2010) and
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core (GeoB)</th>
<th>Depth interval (cmbsf)</th>
<th>GC-FID</th>
<th>SST</th>
<th>GC-MS</th>
<th>SST</th>
<th>NP-HPLC–APCI-MS</th>
<th>SST</th>
<th>LDI</th>
<th>SST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15103-2</td>
<td>21–34</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.27 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>26.70 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.001</td>
<td>12.76 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.01</td>
<td>27.53 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15103-2</td>
<td>34–50</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.03</td>
<td>19.65 ± 0.91</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.002</td>
<td>27.69 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.02</td>
<td>17.69 ± 0.51</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.01</td>
<td>24.24 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15104-1</td>
<td>42–34</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.04</td>
<td>16.05 ± 1.25</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.01</td>
<td>24.53 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.03</td>
<td>18.9 ± 0.84</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.003</td>
<td>25.3 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15104-2</td>
<td>50–196</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.04</td>
<td>16.17 ± 1.25</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>26.17 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.003</td>
<td>18.79 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>22.51 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15104-2</td>
<td>280–296</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.02</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.02</td>
<td>26.59 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.01</td>
<td>12.51 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.002</td>
<td>14.93 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15104-2</td>
<td>360–375</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.06</td>
<td>11.34 ± 1.70</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>19.86 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>10.18 ± 0.76</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.003</td>
<td>12.10 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15104-2</td>
<td>620–635</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>17.94 ± 0.97</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.01</td>
<td>14.74 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>21.29 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.56 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15105-4</td>
<td>12–14</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.04</td>
<td>10.26 ± 1.19</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.03</td>
<td>21.53 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.001</td>
<td>8.36 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.003</td>
<td>11.31 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15105-2</td>
<td>147–167</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.06</td>
<td>5.37 ± 1.71</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.01</td>
<td>23.61 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.19 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.90 ± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15105-2</td>
<td>390–405</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.05</td>
<td>23.19 ± 1.52</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.01</td>
<td>25.01 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.02</td>
<td>24.95 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.002</td>
<td>7.65 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15105-2</td>
<td>420–435</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.06</td>
<td>13.72 ± 1.85</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.01</td>
<td>26.08 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.03</td>
<td>11.21 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.01</td>
<td>19.96 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15105-2</td>
<td>596–613</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.69 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.003</td>
<td>17.19 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.001</td>
<td>8.17 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.003</td>
<td>17.64 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emiliania huxleyi

0.73 ± 0.01 | 20.58 ± 0.15 | – | – | 0.74 ± 0.01 | 20.75 ± 0.26 | – | – | – | – | 16.05 ± 0.66

Fig. 5. (a) Total iGDGT, diol and alkenone concentrations (µg/g sed. dw) vs. age. The timing of the major climatic events is also denoted. (b) SST estimates for cores GeoB15104-2 and -4 from the Sea of Marmara based on alkenones (SST$_{UK'}$), diols (SST$_{TEX86}$) and archaeal iGDGTs (SST$_{iGDGTs}$) determined from NP-HPLC–APCI-MS. The timing of the Younger Dryas (YD), Bølling/Allerød (B/A), Sapropel S1 (S1), Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), Holocene and marine (Unit 1) and lacustrine (Unit 2) units are denoted.
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suggest that haptophytes were important in the phytoplanktonic community before the sapropel formation right after the marine connection of the Sea of Marmara. The high concentration of total iGDGTs and diols in Si can be attributed to both increased primary production and preservation of organic matter (e.g., Sperling et al., 2003). $U_{235}/U_{238}$, TEX$_{86}$ and LDI-derived temperature estimates are similar for the late Holocene (7 to 0 kyr BP) with high and largely invariable values, although absolute values for the $U_{235}$ derived SSTs are consistently lower than those derived from TEX$_{86}$ and LDI (Fig. 5b). Reconstructed temperature values from $U_{235}$ (SST$_{UC37}$) are 17.0 to 20.5 °C, from TEX$_{86}$ (SST$_{TEX86}$) 22.2 to 26.0 °C and from LDI (SST$_{LDI}$) 23.2 to 25.4 °C (Table 4). Between the LGM and S1, the temperature records differ. In the LGM, the SST$_{UC37}$ shows its highest temperature (21.9 °C), which decreases during the transition from the LGM to the B/A, reaching 5.9 °C in the B/A. From the B/A to S1, SST$_{UC37}$ increases again, reaching 21.7 °C at the top of S1. In contrast to SST$_{UC37}$, SST$_{LDI}$ and SST$_{TEX}$ are relatively low for the last glacial, with constant SST$_{UC37}$ of ca. 7 °C and $T_{UC37}$ between 6.6 (at 21.3 kyr) and 15.3 °C. SST$_{TEX86}$ and SST$_{LDI}$ increase during the transition from the glacial to the B/A. SST$_{LDI}$ reaches modern Holocene values during the B/A. However, the warming trend for SST$_{TEX86}$ starting at 15.2 kyr is interrupted in S1, where temperatures decreases by 4.7 °C and relative to the YD (19.9 °C). Modern SST$_{TEX86}$ is not reached before 4.7 kyr BP.

SST$_{TEX}$ suggests an LGM to Holocene warming of 13 °C on average, but the maximum amplitude is almost 20 °C between 6.96 kyr BP and the LGM (21.29 kyr BP). The SST$_{UC37}$ difference between the youngest Holocene and the YD is ca. 14 °C and in good agreement with other SST$_{UC37}$ records from the Sea of Marmara (Sperling et al., 2003; Ménot and Bard, 2010; Vidal et al., 2010). Our SST$_{UC37}$ record is closely similar to that of Sperling et al. (2003) for the time interval where both records overlap (YD to modern Holocene). This supports the novel protocol. There is an offset of ca. 2 °C between the two records during the Holocene. Sperling et al. (2003) determined SST$_{UC37}$ from the total lipid extract without removing coeluting alkenoates, which may explain the offset. The novel MS-based protocol circumvents such potential bias caused by elevated levels of alkenoates. Other potential explanations are the slightly different core locations or differences in instrument performance. It has for example been shown in an interlaboratory study that absolute values can differ by up to 2 °C for exactly the same sample (Roselli-Mélé et al., 2001).

The high SST$_{UC37}$ values during the last glacial are unrealistic and indicate that the transfer function is not valid for the lacustrine setting in the Sea of Marmara during that time. In lacustrine settings, alkenone-producing taxa occur with $U_{235}$-SSST relationships very different from that of E. huxleyi (e.g., Versteegh et al., 2001; Zink et al., 2001; Toney et al., 2010). Coccolith analysis shows that E. huxleyi was only abundant during the marine phase (0–14.7 kyr BP) but not during the lacustrine phase (14.7–21 kyr BP), when overall abundance and diversity of coccoliths was low (Aksu et al., 2002).
The change in coccolith species coincides with a change in salinity, which was low during the last glacial and increased after the marine connection in the Sea of Marmara was established (e.g. Sperling et al., 2003; Vidal et al., 2010). *E. huxleyi* likely "invaded" the Sea of Marmara after this flooding by Mediterranean water during deglaciation, as also suggested for the Black Sea (Hay et al., 1991).

Our SST_TK record, as well as evidence for hydrological and ecological changes (e.g. Aksu et al., 1999, 2002; Sperling et al., 2003; Vidal et al., 2010), strongly suggests that SST reconstruction based on alkenones is not suitable for the lacustrine phase in the Sea of Marmara using the current transfer function.

In contrast, SST_LDI and SST_TEX86 are low during the last glacial and, as expected, show warming at the transition to the B/A. Clearly, the ecological and hydrological changes in the Sea of Marmara had less influence on the relationship between temperature and the distributions of iGDGTs and long chain diols. This is in agreement with mesocosm incubations of enriched *Thaumarchaeota* under different salinity conditions (Wuchter et al., 2004). In S1, a significant cooling of ca. 5 °C occurs in SST_TEX86. Cooling during sapropel formation based on TEX86 has also been reported for Pliocene sapropels from the Black Sea (Menzel et al., 2006). This observation has been explained by a strong contribution from marine Crenarchaeota, thriving at the deeper and colder chemocline. At the time of the sapropel formation in the Sea of Marmara, a similar effect could have led to a change in the distribution of iGDGTs. A chemocline could have developed as a result of higher primary productivity and reduced mixing of the water masses, leading to O2 depletion and bottom water anoxia (e.g. Sperling et al., 2003; Vidal et al., 2010). Therefore, *Thaumarchaeota* could have been driven to a different ecological niche. In modern nutrient-replete nearshore and oceanic upwelling environments, such a cold bias has also been observed (Huguet et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2008; Leider et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2011) and been interpreted as a shift in the depth of CDGT production (Kim et al., 2008; Taylor et al., 2013). However, these interpretations have to be revised since more recent studies showed a bias towards higher TEX86 temperature estimates for subsurface waters (Schouten et al., 2012; Basse et al., 2014; Her nández-Sánchez et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2014), which indicates a distinct relationship between iGDGT biosynthesis and temperature from subsurface archaea. The influence of physiology and environment on TEX86 in cultures of marine planktonic *Thaumarchaeota* has not been systematically studied. The only exception is a study of *Nitrosopumilus maritimus* (Elling et al., 2014), where the iGDGT distribution, and conversely TEX86, changed with growth phase, independent of temperature. One trigger for the sapropel formation in the Sea of Marmara is enhanced primary productivity due to the inflow of Mediterranean water (Sperling et al., 2003; Vidal et al., 2010), also suggesting increased nutrient influx. Such a change in nutrient availability could have changed the iGDGT-temperature relationship (cf. Turich et al., 2007; Elling et al., 2014), but further studies are needed to assess the impact of factors other than temperature influencing iGDGT distribution.

The expected warming during the B/A and the cooling during the YD are not reflected in any of the SST records. This has also been observed by others using U37C in the Sea of Marmara (Sperling et al., 2003; Vidal et al., 2010). Sperling et al. (2003) argued that warmer water originating from the Mediterranean Sea or the incorporation of ‘old’ alkenones from the preceding B/A interstadial might have distorted the temperature signal. This is in contrast to records from the western (Rodrigo-Gámiz et al., 2014) and Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Cañada et al., 2010), where climate change during the YD and the B/A was documented by the (SS)T proxies. In our case, the limited number of samples at the transitions of the different climatic events might explain why the changes were not observed.

The incongruent changes of SST_UK and SST_LDI from the B/A to the termination of S1 further suggest that other environmental factors such as nutrient availability, salinity and O2 availability affected the proxy temperature relationship for diols and alkenones. For example, beside changes in species composition that can lead to erroneous U37C temperature reconstruction (see above), culture experiments with different haptophytes revealed the alteration of the alkenone distribution with changing light intensity, cell division rate, salinity and nutrient concentration (Epstein et al., 1998; Versteegh et al., 2001; Prahl et al., 2003; Ono et al., 2012). Accordingly, the environmental changes that occurred in the Sea of Marmara since the last glacial (e.g. Vidal et al., 2010) have potentially impacted the alkenone distribution in addition to changes in temperature. The mechanism behind the correlation between LDI and temperature, the exact biological source and the effect of environmental factors on the LDI needs to be constrained (cf. Rampen et al., 2012), but adaptation in lipid composition to multiple environmental variables can be expected for most organisms (e.g. Hazel and Williams, 1990).

The differences in absolute temperature between the three proxies, particularly for the Holocene, might be explained by differences in the growing season of the source species (e.g. Leider et al., 2010; Cañada et al., 2010; Lopes dos Santos et al., 2013; Rodrigo-Gámiz et al., 2014). However, absolute temperatures have to be interpreted with caution, since the differences could simply be within the uncertainties in the temperature calibrations for the individual proxies. As a complementary approach to the global core-top calibration to convert TEX86 to temperature, we used the BAYSAR calibration model (Fig. S2), which produces meaningful uncertainty estimates (Tierney and Tingley, 2014). We applied the “standard prediction” mode and used the default settings. The SST estimates based on this calibration showed the same trend as those obtained from the global core-top calibration, but absolute values were consistently lower (between 1.3 and 4 °C). The 90% uncertainty intervals (Fig. S2, light blue area) extend about 10 °C for a single sample. Considering additionally the standard errors for the calibrations of the other two SST proxies, which do not fully account for uncertainties, it becomes apparent that speculation on absolute temperature differences is problematic.

4. Conclusions

For reconstructing climate and environment, reliable and rapid assessment of past temperature is essential. We have demonstrated a rapid and reliable method for determination of the lipid based U37C, LDI and TEX86 temperature proxies within a single NP-HPLC–MS analysis. The method permits the direct analysis of TLEs, saving time-consuming and error-prone cleanup procedures. The reduction in analytical processing time is at least one day for the YD steps, whereas the measurement time is reduced to 60 min/sample for all the compounds for the NP-HPLC–APCI-MS method. U37C values obtained with HPLC–MS and GC–FDI, as well as LDI values obtained with HPLC–MS and GC–MS, were similar and showed almost a 1:1 linear relationship. To monitor the stability of the method and to further assess the validity of a correction function, the use of reference samples is recommended, for U37C preferably based on pure alkenone standards with known U37C values. The application of the new method to a sediment core from the Sea of Marmara was in agreement with literature data on lipid based paleo SST proxies from the region. The discrepancies between the SST proxies in the sediment record likely derive from parameters other than temperature affecting the distributions of the relevant lipids. However, the different ecologies of the organisms are poorly understood. In particular, more insight into the physiological responses of the organisms to a changing environment and their effects on the proxies are needed.
The proposed NP-HPLC–APCI-MS protocol allows determination of nine paleoenvironmental proxies within a single analysis. Since in most modern organic chemical laboratories HPLC systems are available, the protocol could be established for routinely use as a tool for environmental reconstruction.
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